



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/565,532 | 04/03/2006 | Toshiyuki Aritake | 206560203676USO | 2421 |
| 7278 7590 05/13/2008 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770 | | | | |
| EXAMINER CHEN, VIVIAN | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1794 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 05/13/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/565,532

Applicant(s)

ARITAKE ET AL.

Examiner

Vivian Chen

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vivian Chen, Exr.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Del Juidice, Atty.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: references of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Upon inquiry from Mr. Del Juidice, the Examiner confirmed that line 6 of the first full paragraph of the Reasons for Allowance mailed 4/3/2008 contains an inadvertent typographical error (i.e., the omission of the words "fail to" after "JP 10-151715"). The pertinent line should read as follows -- "and JP 10-151715 fail to disclose multilayer poly(lactide) films comprising an amorphous layer and a".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vivian Chen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.